STATE OF NEVADA EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION (ESD) HEARING TO ADOPT THE AMENDED REGULATION FOR THE ELECTRONIC FILING OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE CONTRIBUTION AND WAGE REPORTS

2018 ELECTRONIC FILING OF UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE CONTRIBUTION AND WAGE REPORTS REGULATION HEARING

Thursday, May 31st, 2018; 11:00 A.M.

Place of Meeting: <u>Video Conference to:</u>

Legislative Building Grant Sawyer Building

401 S. Carson Street, Room 2134 555 E. Washington Ave., Room 4412E

Carson City, Nevada 89701 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation (DETR) Staff

Present in Carson City

Jeffrey Frischmann, ESD/DETR

Laurie Trotter, ESD Senior Attorney

Alessandro Capello, Bureau of Research & Analysis, DETR

Brian Bracken, ESD/DETR

Joyce Golden, Administrative Office, ESD/DETR

JoAnne Wiley, ESD/DETR

Mikki Reed, ESD/DETR

Stewart Terry, ESD/DETR

Amy Yukish, ESD/DETR

Mariruth Johnson, ESD/DETR

Kimberly Gaa, IDP/DETR

Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation (DETR) Staff

Present in Las Vegas

Art Martinez, Contributions, ESD/DETR

Members of the Public, Media and Other Agencies

Present in Carson City

Michael Pelham, NV Taxpayers Association

Ira Victor, PTI

Robert Dehnhardt, OIS/DOA

Members of the Public, Media and Other Agencies

Present in Las Vegas

None

JEFFREY FRISCHMANN: Good morning. My name is Jeffrey
Frischmann, I'm the Employment Security Division Deputy
Administrator for the Unemployment Insurance Program. I will
be speaking on behalf of Renee Olson, Administrator for the

Employment Security Division.

1.5

2.4

I will go ahead and start with Agenda Item No. 1 and call this meeting to order. The purpose of this public hearing is to solicit public comment on a proposed amendment of a regulation pertaining to Chapter 612 of the Nevada Administrative Code, pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute, NRS 233B.061. The proposed amendment will establish the electronic filing of unemployment insurance contribution and wage reports for Nevada Employers.

I'd like to introduce our Division's Senior Attorney,
Laurie Trotter, sitting to my right. We also have several
other members of the Division Staff, available here, in the
North and in the South as well. Please ask for assistance if
you need it. I'd like to thank all of you for attending this
Hearing.

On July 18, 2017, the Employment Security Division

Administrator held the first listening session in Carson City

to discuss and solicit comment on the proposed regulation. No

written comments were received for this meeting.

At the July 18, 2017 listening session, only one member of the public provided public comment asking for clarification

on the capture of an electronic signature in Nevada's
Unemployment Insurance System, UINV. No other public comment
was provided.

The Division held 15 additional listening sessions throughout the State in an effort to solicit public comments. No written comments or public comment was provided for any of these additional sessions.

On March 22, 2018, the Division held a small business workshop and no public or written comments were received.

Under this agenda item, we will now welcome any public comment, if you would like to provide public comment, please come forward. Please limit your comments to five minutes each and if you have any written testimony to present, you can provide that to staff. When speaking, please identify yourself by stating your name, who you represent and then proceed with your comments.

Is there anybody that would like to provide public comment in Las Vegas at this time? I see nobody in Las Vegas coming forward.

Is there anyone in Carson City who would like to comment?

IRA VICTOR: Good morning. I guess, I should address you as Chairman, Mr. Frischmann, Chairman of the Meeting? Mr. Chairman, my name is Ira Victor for the record, I-R-A, V-I-C-T-O-R. I am a Digital Forensic Analyst with a Nevada company called Discovery Technician. We work in

Information Security and in digital forensics when businesses end up in court because of issues related to data.

I am here today to testify because—or to provide input because I was just within the last two weeks that I knew about this regulation change. I am the immediate past President of Infoguard, the largest information security and cybercrime organization here in the State. To the best of my knowledge, no one in our organization knew about this change and I believe that there would've been comments made before today if our members had known. Certainly, my clients would have provided input had they known before very recently about this hearing today.

I'm here today to provide input because I'm extremely concerned about this change in regulation. My concern is because of the security status of small businesses here in Nevada. I understand that the majority of businesses that are filing today—thank you Mr. Frischmann, Mr. Chairman, for giving me the statistics before the Hearing today, that the majority of the businesses that are not filing electronically are small businesses.

The Verizon Breach Report. Every year, Verizon releases a report about data breaches. In the most recent Verizon Breach Report, their 2018 report, nearly two-thirds of the businesses impacted by cyber crime are small businesses.

1.5

Today, as a matter of fact, or yesterday pardon me, I'm reading a headline from Yahoo News, turn off your router now says FBI, after Russian malware discovered taking over the internet. This is one of a wave of cyber-attacks—this is called VPN filter. What this does is, this takes over the firewall router of small business; homes and small businesses. We call that SOHO. Small Office/Home Office. It takes over those devices silently and one of the goals of this malware attack is to steal the very credentials that we're talking about in this regulation today.

I'm aware that there is an exemption in here where a business can apply for a one-year sort of waiver, to not file electronically. I think that's misplaced. To put the burden on the business to come to DETR to request a waiver is backwards. Just like here when—in this Hearing room, there are Hearings for bills and legislation; there's a fiscal impact of a change. We all know about that. When a road is built, there's an environmental impact.

I have yet to find in the record any risk assessment impact on this regulation. I think it's the responsibility of DETR to look at the information security and forensic risk impact of the change; not the responsibility of businesses to do that risk assessment, but DETR, since they are doing the proposed rule change.

1.5

Now, amongst my clients here in Nevada, some of them include small businesses in which they are on the—they have been on the receiving end of very expensive litigation. A data breach occurred in someone that they do business with. That business then files suit against other businesses that they do business with and now those businesses need to—all those businesses need to hire lawyers and defend themselves against the law suit.

1.5

At the end, they usually end up paying a settlement because it's cheaper than actually going all the way to court. You are—you are—if you pass this—if you go forward with this regulation today, you are directly impacting small businesses and their ability—and hurting their ability to defend themselves when there's a data breach, not just on their network, but on the networks of people that they do business with. This will be yet another source of data and data breach that they will be responsible for and that they will end up paying a settlement, in all likelihood, rather than going all the way to court. This will put Nevada businesses at a disadvantage, small businesses, rather than—I think we need to put them at an advantage when it comes to competing in the marketplace.

I know I have limited time today. I am deeply sorry that the organization that I'm the immediate past President of and the rest of the information security community and businesses

that I know had no idea about this regulation. I do feel confident that had we known, we would've brought this information to you sooner.

1.5

2.4

I do urge you to do this. Postpone making the decision.

Do a risk assessment. Slow this down. I will—I will work with the Department. I will bring in and attempt to recruit other people in the information security community to help DETR come up with a solution that protects the businesses and also, improves the operational efficiency of the Department.

JEFFREY FRISCHMANN: Mr. Victor, thank you very much for your comments. If you have any written comments that you'd like to submit, please feel free to do that. I sincerely thank you for coming forward and providing your comment. So, thank you.

IRA VICTOR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

JEFFREY FRISCHMANN: Would anybody else like to come forward with any public comment? Okay, thank you.

We will go ahead and close the first opportunity for public comment. We will move on to Agenda Item No. 3.

Confirmation of Posting. Mr. Terry, for the record, was proper Notice for this Hearing given and did you receive proper confirmation of posting in accordance with the Nevada Revised Statute 241.0203?

STEWART TERRY: Stewart Terry, for the record,

Management Analyst for the Employment Security Division,

Management and Administration Support Services Unit. Yes, proper Notice was provided for this Meeting pursuant to Nevada's Open Meeting Law, NRS 241.020 and confirmation of posting was received.

JEFFREY FRISCHMANN: Thank you Mr. Terry. Moving on to Agenda Item No. 4, the Review of Written Comments. Mrs.

Golden, have any written comments been received in regards to this Hearing?

JOYCE GOLDEN: Joyce Golden, for the record,

Assistant to he Division Administrator. No written comments
were received for this meeting.

JEFFREY FRISCHMANN: Thank you, Mrs. Golden. Moving on to Agenda Item No. 5, the Agency Review of the Regulation.

I will be providing this review on Mrs. Olson's behalf. This Hearing is being held to review the proposed regulation to amend Chapter 612 of the Nevada Administrative Code. This regulation requires employers to file all reports required by NRS 612.535 through 612.580, electronically.

In September of 2015, the UI Program implemented the final phase for a new state-of-the-art computer system that boasts a secured user-friendly self-service portal. Currently, approximately 67% of Nevada Employers are taking advantage of the self-service portal and are regularly filing their quarterly reports electronically. Approximately 84% of the

1.5

paper reports received are from employers with less than 10 employees.

The purpose of the regulation is to mandate electric filing which will ultimately make the filing process more efficient, cost-effective and improve accuracy. In the three years since implementing the new UI computer system, we have regularly received positive feedback from the 67% of employers that voluntarily file electronically. This is not unlike individuals that file their personal Federal Income Tax Returns electronically where studies show that almost 85% of taxpayers voluntarily elect to file their personal income tax returns online.

This approach is currently being used successfully in a number of states that have recently modernized their systems. Those states have shifted staffing resources to focus on customer service, to assist in educating employers on electric filing, opposed to rekeying information from paper forms into the system.

In the long term, it has benefited both the State and the Employer community and has created a win-win situation for all of the stakeholders. The agency will continue to work with the 33% of the employer community that are not currently filing electronically; providing help and assistance to bring them in compliance with the new regulation.

1.5

2.4

It is important to note that the proposed regulation allows employers to request a waiver in instances where the employer can demonstrate that electronic filing could cause a hardship.

Renee Olson, Administrator of the Employment Security

Division expects to take formal action on the adoption of the regulation today, May 31, 2018.

Moving on to Agenda Item No. 6. We have the second and final opportunity for public comment; if anyone would like to come forward at this time. Is there anyone that would like to provide public comment in Las Vegas at this time? I see nobody coming forward. Is there anyone in Carson City who would like to provide comment? Nobody coming forward.

I'll move to the final agenda item, adjournment. If there are no other further comments, I hereby adjourn this meeting. I thank you and I thank you again, Mr. Victor, for your input.

[end of Hearing]